Elsewhere I have quoted some cases of "Christian" utterances. It seems forgotten that political questions are at bottom moral questions and a Christian cannot avoid judgment on issues raised by the exploitation of one people by another or foreign domination. The missionary preaches the justice and love of God and yet incongruously keeps silence in the presence of situations which are a direct violation of his preaching. Rather than compromise with evil when they see it, it is better that missionaries should resign and go home.

Missionaries have only too often failed to observe even neutrality, but have been ready to pay courtesy calls on officials and to bask in the sunshine of Government patronage. There are far more missionaries who attend parties at Government House and other such functions than there are those who have close contacts with nationally-minded Indians, especially if they are Congressmen. Missionaries generally read the European-edited papers, which have a bias towards the *status quo.* A distinguished Indian Christian has expressed much of the above criticism to me, and I know that it is common. The Indian Christian attitude to the missionary is complicated by the fact that only too often it is a relation of employer to employee; the missionary, being the paymaster and initiator of policy, does not always show his best side to the Indian worker. Indian Christians complain that only too often there is no real comradeship between missionaries and their Indian co-workers. Missionaries are inclined to think they they have everything to give and nothing to learn, alid criticism is not appreciated. Neither on the spiritual plane nor in material things is there too commonly real sharing between the missionary and Indian Christians. The poverty of the Indian Christians and their dependence on the missions are unhappy factors in the situation. Only too often missionaries are surrounded by